

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/754,027	01/08/2004	Sung-Koog Oh	5000-1-436	6075	
33942	7590 05/30/2006		EXAMINER		
CHA & RE	-		PAK, SUNG H		
PARAMUS,	4 EAST STE 103 NJ 07652		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,			2874		
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/30/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·		Н.			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/754,027	OH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sung H. Pak	2874				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by star Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status .						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	March 2006.	••				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16,18 and 19</u> is/are pending in th	ne application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	Irawn from consideration.	*				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16, 18-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	•			
Applicant may not request that any objection to ti	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	rection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
Certified copies of the priority docume						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		n received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies no	t received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) M Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	·	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/23/2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5-12, 14-16, 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fajardo et al (US 6,445,862 B1).

Fajardo discloses an optical device with limitations set forth in the claims, including: a rod-shaped substrate ('40' Fig. 4) with a plurality of holes ('52', '56' Fig. 4) longitudinally formed therethrough in a photonic lattice structure (column 4 lines 58-61); a plurality of longitudinal material members consisting of solid material (column 5 lines 27-30; column 5 lines 34-36), said members having at least two different indices of refraction (column 5 lines 27-30; column 5 lines 34-36), the members being inserted in the holes, wherein distribution of index of

Art Unit: 2874

refraction of the photonic crystal fiber preform is controlled by arrangement of the members, and said distribution of index of refraction of the photonic crystal fiber preform is changed by change of said arrangement of the members (Fig. 6- changing the arrangement of elements '52' and '56' would inherently change the refractive index distribution of the optical fiber shown in Fig. 6);

wherein each member is formed in a shape of a rod (Fig. 4);

wherein each of the hole and the member is formed in the shape of a cylinder (Fig. 4);

wherein the index of refraction of the members increases form the center of the preform to the outer circumference of the preform (i.e. '52' has lower index of refraction and '56' has higher index of refraction- column 5 lines 27-30; column 5 lines 34-36);

wherein the index of refraction of the members decreases and then increases from the center of the preform to the outer circumference of the preform (see Fig. 6- center core is considered a "member");

wherein the substrate is made of a material containing pure silica (column 5 line 67); wherein the substrate is made of a material containing silica and a dopant having a predetermined index of refraction (column 6 lines 1-2);

wherein each of the members is formed in the shape of a cylinder having a diameter smaller than that of the cylindrical substrate (Fig. 4).

It is noted that the present application contains recitations drawn to method steps of making the claimed photonic crystal fiber preform (such as "inserting" the member, etc.).

However, according to MPEP 2113, "[t]he patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the ... claim is the same as or obvious from a product of

Art Unit: 2874

the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985); MPEP 2113. Since Fajardo discloses all the structural elements of the claimed product as discussed above, the claims are rejected under 35 USC 102.

Also, it is noted that the present application contains recitations drawn to a function of the claimed product (such as the distribution of index of refraction being "controlled" by arrangement of the members). However, according to MPEP 2114, "[w]hile features of an apparatus may be recited either structurally or functionally, claims directed to an apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. In re Schreiber, 128 F.3d 1473, 1477-78, 44 USPQ2d 1429, 1431-32 (Fed. Cir. 1997); MPEP 2114. Since the device of Fajardo is capable of performing the claimed function as discussed above, the claims are rejected under 35 USC 102.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

Art Unit: 2874

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 4, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fajardo et al (US 6,445,862 B1) in view of Nakahara (US 2004/0136671 A1).

Fajardo discloses an optical device with limitations set forth in the claims, as discussed above, except it does not explicitly teach the refractive index of the members decreasing from the center to the outer circumference.

On the other hand, providing photonic band gap members such that the refractive index of the resulting preform decrease from the center to the outer circumference of the preform is well known in the art, for example as shown by Nakahara (Figs. 2B-2C). Such arrangement is considered advantageous and desirable because it provides effective means for lessening chromatic dispersion (paragraph 0042). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Fajardo to have its members arranged such that the refractive index of the members decrease from the center to the outer circumference of the preform.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sung H. Pak whose telephone number is (571) 272-2353. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday, 9AM-5PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/754,027 Page 6

Art Unit: 2874

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on (571)272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sung H. Pak

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2874